CPAP at 10 cm H$_2$O during cardiopulmonary bypass does not improve postoperative gas exchange

Abstract

Objective: To compare postoperative (PO) pulmonary gas exchange indexes in patients submitted to myocardial revascularization (MR) with or without the application of continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) during cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB). Methods: Thirty adult patients submitted to MR with CPB between March and September 2005 were randomly allocated to two groups: CPAP (n=15), patients that received CPAP at 10 cmH$_2$O during CPB, and control (n=15), patients that didn’t receive CPAP. PaO$_2$/FiO$_2$ and P(A-a)O$_2$ were analyzed at four moments: Pre (just before CPB, with FiO$_2$ = 1.0 ); Post (30min post-CPB, with FiO$_2$ = 1.0 ); an immediate PO period (12h post-surgery, with FiO$_2$ = 0.4 by using a Venturi® facial mask) and first PO day (24h post-surgery, with FiO$_2$ = 0.5 by a facial mask).

Results: PaO$_2$/FiO$_2$ and P(A-a)O$_2$ tend to get significantly worst as time elapsed during the postoperative period in both groups, but no differences were observed between them at any moment. When PaO$_2$/FiO$_2$ was subdivided into three categories, a greater prevalence of patients with values between 200 mmHg and 300mmHg were observed in CPAP group only at moment Post (30min post-CPB; p = 0.02).

Conclusion: CPAP at 10cmH$_2$O administered during CPB, although had lightly improved PaO$_2$/FiO$_2$ at 30 minutes post-CPB, had no significant sustained effect on postoperative pulmonary gas exchange. We concluded that in patients submitted to MR, application of 10 cmH$_2$O CPAP does not improve postoperative pulmonary gas exchange.

INTRODUCTION

Pulmonary complications associated to cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) increase morbidity and mortality in patients submitted to cardiac surgeries [1]. The incidence of pulmonary complications varied largely and has been reported in 2% to 64% in patients submitted to open heart surgery with CPB, being characterized by an increase in the alveolar-arterial oxygen gradient $[P(A-a)O_2]$, atelectasis and increased alveolo-capilar membrane permeability [2].

The surgical opening of pleural cavity, with consequent loss of negative intra-pleural pressure, allied to the fact that the lungs remain directly in contact with the room air through the opened endotracheal tube are predisposing factors to atelectasis, increased intrapulmonary shunt, pulmonary congestion and alveolo-capilar membrane injury during open heart surgery with CPB. Pulmonary function and gas exchange are usually impaired in 20% to 90% of patients submitted to cardiac surgery with CPB. Pulmonary injury induced by CPB remains as an important cause of postoperative morbidity in these patients [3].

Many therapeutic strategies have been investigated in aim to minimize or prevent the incidence of these complications, including the vital capacity maneuver [4] and the application of continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) during CPB [5].

CPAP maneuver is characterized by the application of a constant and continuous positive pressure into the patient’s airway. In this manner, the flow generated by the mechanical ventilator keeps airways pressurized and opened, avoiding them to collapse [6].

Berry et al. [2] have reported that CPAP at 5 cmH$_2$O during CPB didn’t improve pulmonary function (evaluated by alveolar-arterial oxygen gradient) and the extubation time in their patients. Gilbert et al. [7] reported that the application of low CPAP levels during CPB has not statistically changed pulmonary mechanical properties or gas exchange in nine treated patients.

Magnusson et al. [8], in an experimental trial comparing pigs that have received or not CPAP at 5 cmH$_2$O during CPB, reported that the application of CPAP didn’t prevent atelectasis or increasing in pulmonary shunt in these animals when compared to control ones.

According to Locekinger et al. [5], a negative fluid balance and the application of CPAP have been used to decrease the adverse effects of CPB on pulmonary function. The authors have applied CPAP at 10 cmH$_2$O during CPB in seven patients, verifying that those who received CPAP at 10 cmH$_2$O have shown improving in ventilation/perfusion mismatch, with lesser pulmonary shunt values and better gas exchange indexes measured four hours after CPB when compared to patients whose airways remained open to atmosphere.

In this way, considering the controversy surrounding this matter in medical literature, the main objective of the present trial was to evaluate the effects of CPAP at 10 cmH$_2$O applied during CPB on postoperative pulmonary gas exchange in adult patients scheduled to elective myocardial revascularization surgery.

METHODS

The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medical Science from Campinas State University (Unicamp) (protocol No 292/2005) and a written informed consent was obtained from every patient before inclusion.

The research was performed at the Irmandade de Santa Casa de Misericórdia from Araras (ISCMA), including...
surgical theater and intensive care unit settings, between March and September 2005.

The studied population was composed by 30 adult patients, both sexes, submitted to elective myocardial revascularization (MR) surgery with CPB. This number of patients was initially selected based on data previously published [2,5,8]. During the trial period, all patients were managed by the same anesthetic and surgical teams, and no interference or modifications were done by the researchers in the pre-established anesthetic, surgical and clinical treatment guidelines.

Inclusion criteria were as following: age ≥ 18 years; both sexes; elective surgical procedure; surgery with CPB and patient’s voluntary agreement to participate in the research by sign an informed consent term. Exclusion criteria were: age < 18 years; patients with valvulopathies associated to the ischemic heart disease; patients with left ventricle aneurysm; infective endocarditis; non-elective surgery and patient’s refusal to sign the informed consent term.

The patients were randomly allocated in the day previous to surgery to two groups: CPAP group (n = 15), with CPAP at 10 cm H2O applied during CPB, and control group (n = 15), without CPAP during CPB.

Demographic data such as gender, age and body mass index (BMI), and the presence of actual tobacco use were recorded preoperatively. The type of surgery was also recorded. CPB time, mechanical ventilation time and arterial blood gases for oxygenation indexes calculations were extracted from anesthesia sheet, CPB sheet and patients ICU medical records, as appropriated.

In both groups, arterial blood samples for gas analyses (PaO2, PaCO2, SaO2) and to pulmonary gas exchange indexes [PaO2/FiO2 e P(A-a)O2] calculations were collected at four different moments: Pre-CPB (just before CPB, with FiO2 = 1.0); Post-CPB (30 minutes post-CPB, with FiO2 = 1.0); immediate PO (12 hours after surgery, with FiO2 = 0.4 by using a Venturi® facial mask); and at the first PO day (24 hours after surgery, with FiO2 = 0.5 by using a Venturi® facial mask).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistically, data were examined by using Qui-square or Fisher’s exact test to compare categorical variables between groups. Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare numerical variables between groups at different observation moments. Analysis of variance for repeated measures (ANOVA) was used to compare pulmonary gas exchange variables between groups and between observation times. Comparisons between groups were done by using Tukey’s post-hoc test, and comparisons between observation times were done by profile test. The variables without normal distribution were logarithmic (log10) transformed for statistical analysis. P-values < 0.05 were considered significant.

**RESULTS**

Patient’s demographic characteristics, CPB duration time and basal arterial blood gas values (Pré-CPB) are shown in Table 1. No significant differences were found between groups.

Post-operative mechanical ventilation times were 9.1 ± 2.1 hours in CPAP group and 9.5 ± 1.1 hours in control group (p = NS).

Statistically significant differences in PaO2/FiO2 and P(A-a)O2 were found by comparing their values at four different moments inside each group. However, no significant differences were found by comparing the two groups at any time (Tables 2 and 3 and Figures 2 and 3).
When PaO2/FiO2 were subdivided into three categorical values, a greater prevalence of patients with PaO2/FiO2 between 200 mmHg and 300 mmHg was found in CPAP group at post-CPB moment (30 min post-CPB; p = 0.02) (Table 4 and Figure 4).

Table 1. Basal data (Pre-CPB) of both groups (data, when pertinent, are expressed as mean ± standard deviation).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>CPAP Group</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (M/F)</td>
<td>N = 15</td>
<td>N = 15</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>61.5 ± 10.8</td>
<td>60.5 ± 9.3</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>26.8 ± 4.0</td>
<td>24.8 ± 3.1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tabagism (Yes/No)</td>
<td>4/11</td>
<td>6/9</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPB time (min)</td>
<td>52.2 ± 13.5</td>
<td>56.9 ± 20.8</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO2 (mmHg)</td>
<td>340.5 ± 68.7</td>
<td>334.5 ± 120.3</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaCO2 (mmHg)</td>
<td>41.0 ± 9.8</td>
<td>46.3 ± 7.8</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SaO2 (%)</td>
<td>99.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>100.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO2/FiO2 (mmHg)</td>
<td>340.5 ± 68.7</td>
<td>334.5 ± 120.3</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (A-a)O2 (mmHg)</td>
<td>273.6 ± 116.9</td>
<td>274.2 ± 67.8</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI = Body mass index; CPAP = continuous positive airway pressure; CPB = cardiopulmonary bypass; F = female; M = male; PaO2 = arterial oxygen partial pressure; PaCO2 = arterial carbon dioxide partial pressure; PaO2/FiO2 = oxygenation index; P(A-a)O2 = alveolar-arterial oxygen gradient; SaO2 = arterial oxygen saturation

Table 2. PaO2/FiO2 (mmHg) at different observation moments in CPAP and control groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>PaO2/FiO2</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-CPB</td>
<td>341 (69)</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>351</td>
<td></td>
<td>441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-CPB</td>
<td>256 (83)</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>230</td>
<td></td>
<td>418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPAP</td>
<td>12h – PO</td>
<td>256 (51)</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 15</td>
<td>24h – PO</td>
<td>210 (46)</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>12h – PO</td>
<td>235 (67)</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 15</td>
<td>24h – PO</td>
<td>199 (54)</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>344</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Observation: comparison of PaO2/FiO2 (oxygenation index) between groups (pG-value) pG=0.183; between times (pT-value) pT

Table 3. P(A-a)O2 (mmHg) at different observation moments in CPAP and control groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>P(A-a)O2</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-CPB</td>
<td>274 (68)</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>269</td>
<td></td>
<td>412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-CPB</td>
<td>352 (79)</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>381</td>
<td></td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPAP</td>
<td>12h – PO</td>
<td>126 (35)</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 15</td>
<td>24h – PO</td>
<td>187 (20)</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>12h – PO</td>
<td>274 (1167)</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 15</td>
<td>24h – PO</td>
<td>379 (140)</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>524</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Observation: comparison of P(A-a)O2 (alveolar-arterial gradient) between groups (pG-value) pG=0.827; between times (pT-value) pT

Fig. 2 - PaO2/FiO2 (mmHg) at different observation times. - PaO2/FiO2 (mmHg) at different observation times. Statistically significant differences were found between times for both groups. * pT < 0.001 (Pre-CPB ‘’ 30minPost-CPB; Pré-CPB ‘’ 12h-PO; Pré-CPB ‘’ 24h-PO; 12h-PO ‘’ 24h-PO)

Fig. 3 – P(A-a)O2 (mmHg) at different observation times. - P(A-a)O2 (mmHg) at different observation times. Statistically significant differences were found between times for both groups. * pT < 0.001 (Pre-CPB ‘’ 30minPost-CPB; Pré-CPB ‘’ 12h-PO; Pré-CPB ‘’ 24h-PO; 30minPost-CPB ‘’ 24h-PO and 12h-PO ‘’ 24h-PO)

When PaO2/FiO2 were subdivided into three categorical values, a greater prevalence of patients with PaO2/FiO2 between 200 mmHg and 300 mmHg was found in CPAP group at post-CPB moment (30 min post-CPB; p = 0.02) (Table 4 and Figure 4).
DISCUSSION

CPAP group and control group were homogeneous in relation to demographic characteristics, CPB time and pulmonary gas exchange indexes at baseline (Pré-CPB) (Table 1), thus allowing a safe comparison of data obtained at different moments of the study protocol.

Pulmonary dysfunction induced by open heart surgery and CPB are quite common in the post-operative period at the ICU, and are characterized by increased intrapulmonary shunt, atelectasis, increased alveolar-arterial oxygen gradient, increased extra-vascular lung water and decreased pulmonary compliance [5]. The main causes of post-bypass pulmonary dysfunction are the reduced or absent blood flow through the lungs during CPB and the surgical opening of pleural cavity [4].

Weiss et al. [9] reported a decrease in PaO₂/FiO₂ during the first 12 hours post-CPB in patients submitted to coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG). In their study, PaO₂/FiO₂ was calculated just after anesthetic induction and was compared to the values obtained at one hour, six hours and 12 hours after CPB ending in 460 patients. The observed values of PaO₂/FiO₂ were: 224 ± 109 mmHg; 284 ± 104 mmHg; and 235 ± 90 mmHg (pre-CPB; one hour; six hours; and 12 hours post-CPB; respectively). The authors have concluded that, despite improvements in CPB techniques, the presence of hypoxemia remains still common in post-operative cardiac surgery patients.

According to Barbosa and Carmona [10], the worsening of PaO₂/FiO₂ in the postoperative period of CABG surgery is mainly due to the reduced pulmonary functional residual capacity secondary to the presence of microatelectasis, alterations in the pulmonary elastic properties and changes in the flow-resistive characteristics of the respiratory system, including its two components: lung and thoracic wall. These alterations lead to misdistribution of inspired gases, adversely affecting pulmonary gas exchange, with consequent hypoxemia. Additionally, CPB induces systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) and acute pulmonary lung injury, thus contributing to PaO₂/FiO₂ decrease.

In regard to the reliability of these traditional variables often used to evaluate patient’s oxygenation, Terzi and Dragosavac [11] consider that PaO₂/FiO₂ and P(A-a)O₂ are straightly comparable when applied to post-operative patients submitted to open heart surgery with CPB. Indeed, in the present trial, PaO₂/FiO₂ has shown values inside the normal range at pre-CPB moment, and a subsequent decrease at the following observation moments (p < 0.001) (Table 2).

In our trial, PaO₂/FiO₂ has shown a tendency to be better in CPAP group compared to control only just after (30 minutes) CPB (256 ± 83 mmHg vs. 223 ± 144 mmHg), but this improvement was not statistically significant (p = 0.39) (Figure 3).

The alveolar-arterial oxygen gradient [P(A-a)O₂] in patients with pulmonary gas exchange derangements will be increased at any range of FiO₂, with greater values than those found in normal subjects. As reported by Terzi and Dragosavac [11], the calculated P(A-a)O₂ in post-operative period of patients submitted to cardiac surgery with CPB
has shown values varying from 119 mmHg with \( \text{FiO}_2 = 0.4 \) to 338 mmHg with \( \text{FiO}_2 = 1.0 \).

Yamagishi et al. [12] reported, in 50 patients submitted to CABG, \( P(A-a)O_2 \) varying from 22 mmHg to 118 mmHg three hours after surgery, and a mean value of 121 mm Hg at the first post-operative day. Similar results were found in our trial, where \( P(A-a)O_2 \) has shown increased values in both groups at all moments (Table 3).

Some protective therapeutic strategies has been proposed in the literature in aim to attenuate the pulmonary dysfunction frequently seen after cardiac surgery with CPB, including repeated vital capacity maneuvers [4], and the use of CPAP during CPB [5].

In the repeated vital capacity maneuver, the lungs are manual hyper-inflated with a pressure around 40 cm H2O that are sustained for 15 seconds, just before CPB ending. This maneuver is indicated in specific cases where mechanical ventilation with high \( \text{FiO}_2 \) is required [13]. In an experimental trial using pigs, Magnusson et al. [4] reported that atelectasis formation and intrapulmonary shunt could be avoided by applying the repeated vital capacity maneuver before CPB ending.

Magnusson et al. [8], in an experimental trial evaluating the effects of CPAP during CPB in order to avoid airway collapse, have applied CPAP at 5 cm H2O in six pigs submitted to median sternotomy and CPB for 90 minutes. Ventilation-perfusion distribution was evaluated by the inert gas elimination technique, and computerized tomographic images were used to detect the presence of atelectatic lung areas. The authors have not observed statistically significant differences in the incidence of atelectasis or intrapulmonary shunt reduction and hypoxemia in the studied sample, thus concluding that in this swine model the formation of atelectasis is not effectively prevented by applying CPAP at 5 cm H2O during CPB.

Clinically, some investigators have been evaluated the effects of CPAP applied during CPB on pulmonary gas exchange, and trying to correlate these early beneficial effects with respiratory function later on, but with conflicting results.

In this way, Berry et al. [2] have applied CPAP at 5 cm H2O during CPB in patients submitted to open heart surgery and compared them to those whose airways remained open to the atmosphere. They have observed that \( P(A-a)O_2 \) was statistically lesser in CPAP group at 30 minutes post-CPB ending, but this beneficial effect has not been sustained four and eight hours after surgery. The authors concluded that no advantage is offered by the use of CPAP during CPB as a maneuver to improve postoperative pulmonary function.

Gilbert et. al. [7], in a similar trial, have applied low level of CPAP during CPB in nine patients submitted to cardiac surgery. They evaluated lung mechanical properties by measuring compliance and airway resistance, and the gas exchange function by calculating \( P(A-a)O_2 \). The authors reported that those patients who were protectively ventilated by applying CPAP at low levels have not shown significant changes in postoperative pulmonary mechanical properties or in \( P(A-a)O_2 \).

Otherwise, Zabeeda et al. [14] have compared the effects of high-frequency ventilation, volume controlled ventilation and CPAP at 5 cm H2O during CPB in the postoperative pulmonary gas exchange in patients submitted to open heart surgery, showing that \( P(A-a)O_2 \) was lesser and \( \text{PaO}_2 \) was higher in patients receiving CPAP when compared to those managed with the other two modes of mechanical ventilation strategies.

Loeckinger et al. [5] have applied CPAP at 10 cm H2O during CPB in seven patients submitted to elective CABG surgery. Ventilation/perfusion distribution was evaluated by inert gas elimination technique and pulmonary gas exchange by \( P(A-a)O_2 \). The authors could observe that CPAP at 10 cm H2O has significantly improved pulmonary ventilation/perfusion distribution, resulting in lesser intrapulmonary shunt four hours after CPB, when compared to patients whose airways remained open to room air. However, the authors also reported that these beneficial effects were not sustained from the fourth to the eighth hour after CPB.

Ishikawa et al. [15] have reported that CPAP at 5 cm H2O applied just after pleurotomy prevents the deterioration of pulmonary gas exchange indexes and decreases atelectasis formation after CPB in patients submitted to cardiac surgery. However, the authors have also observed that these effects could no longer be sustained during post-operative recovery.

Koner et al. [16] evaluated the evolution of \( P(A-a)O_2 \) in 44 patients submitted to CABG surgery with CPB divided into three groups: Group 1, patients that has been mechanically ventilated during CPB with a tidal volume (TV) of 6 ml/kg and PEEP at 5 cm H2O (n = 15); Group 2, ventilated with TV of 5 ml/kg and PEEP at 5 cm H2O (n = 14); and Group 3, patients that has been ventilated with TV of 10 ml/kg, without PEEP (ZEEP). They reported better \( P(A-a)O_2 \) in Groups 1 and 2 (patients that used PEEP at 5 cm H2O during CPB) when compared to group 3 (ZEEP).

Altmay et al. [17] have evaluated the effects of CPAP at 10 cm H2O during CPB on pulmonary gas exchange after open heart surgery. They reported lesser values of intrapulmonary shunt and of \( P(A-a)O_2 \) 20 minutes after CPB and just after sternum closure in those patients that have used CPAP during CPB, when compared to those whose airways were kept open to atmosphere. They concluded that CPAP use during CPB is an effective maneuver to reduce intrapulmonary shunt and the \( P(A-a)O_2 \) just after surgery ending, but their beneficial effects were transient and couldn’t be sustained for the whole postoperative period.

One important limitation of the present study is the small population sample. This number of patients was initially
selected based on previously published papers [2,5,8]. Just after the inclusion of the first 30 patients in the trial a preliminary statistical analysis of the data was done, and a projection indicated that even if the population sample had been increased to 100 or 150 patients, clinically significant or relevant differences could not be found between patients receiving or not CPAP during CPB. In this way, we decided to stop the trial.

In summary, in accordance with many other literature reports, we were unable to demonstrate that applying CPAP during CPB in open heart surgery results in prolonged beneficial effects on postoperative pulmonary gas exchange.

CONCLUSION

Continuous positive airway pressure at 10 cmH\textsubscript{2}O administered during CPB, although had lightly improved PaO\textsubscript{2}/FiO\textsubscript{2} at 30 minutes post-CPB, had no significant sustained effect on postoperative pulmonary gas exchange. We concluded that in patients submitted to myocardial revascularization, application of 10 cmH\textsubscript{2}O CPAP does not improve postoperative pulmonary gas exchange.
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