Dissection of the internal thoracic artery using skeletonized technique
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The article by Sá et al. “Skeletonized internal thoracic artery is associated with lower rates of mediastinitis in elderly undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting surgery” [1], published in this issue of the Brazilian Journal of Cardiovascular Surgery (p. 617) demonstrated that in patients older than 70 years, with the removal of the internal thoracic artery (ITA) using the skeletonized technique, there was a lower incidence of mediastinitis than when it was used the pedicled technique to dissect the ITA. The incidence of mediastinitis was 1.2% in the skeletonized group and 12.5% in the pedicled group. In principle, only one ITA was used and probably the left. There are no special reference to the use of double ITA or concern in noting which of the two ITAs were used. The withdrawal of the pedicled ITA was predictive of mediastinitis in multivariate analysis. The two groups were comparable, and the few differences between the groups (obesity and multiple transfusions) were more unfavorable to the skeletonized group, which still showed a lower incidence of mediastinitis.

Skeletonized is a word that does not exist in our dictionaries of Portuguese language, being one of several approaches that we translate from English in our medical vernacular. In Dicionário Novo Aurélio [2], the closest we get the English “skeletonized” was skeletal, regarding our imitating the skeleton.

The groups skeletonized and pedicled still deserve another repair. Both groups are pedicled, only one has a thick pediculum and another pediculum skeletonized. I understand that this division of pedicled and skeletonized is somehow enshrined, but it’s always good to question the accuracy of our terms.

ITA is recognized as the best graft for use in the coronary tree, and in particular for the left anterior descending artery, due to its large long-term patency, even in patients older than 75 years [3]. Obesity, diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and advanced age are predisposing factors to mediastinitis and sternal complications, and an obstacle to the use of ITA.

The withdrawal of ITA using skeletonized technique allows better preservation of sternal vascularity when compared to the technique which uses a thick pediculum, veins, muscle and fascia [4]. This has improved sternal vascularity as a consequence a lower incidence of sternal complications and precordial dysesthesia [5].

The first concern was to research whether with the withdrawal using the skeletal technique would be greater possibility of injury from ITA and lower long-term patency. There is already enough information in the medical literature to conclude that the withdrawal of ITA using the skeletonized technique is not accompanied by a greater injury of ITA and lower graft patency [6].

The use of dual ITA has expanded, especially in young patients, in whom it has demonstrated improved event-free survival in 20 years of postoperative [7]. Using the withdrawal of ITA by skeletonized technique and maintenance of larger sternal revascularization, it is possible to use one or both ITAs, even in groups at higher risk for sternal complications, such as obese patients with type I diabetes, or age above 70 years old.

In the “in touch” technique of removal of the saphenous vein developed by Dashwood et al. [8], maintaining a thick pedicle of the saphenous vein, mimicking the classic way of dissecting the ITA, was accompanied by greater saphenous vein patency, perhaps because of greater local release of nitric oxide. The advantage of thick pedicle is not shown in the ITA, in which there is migration in order to perform the dissection using the skeletonized technique.

The study by Sá et al. [1] brings another important evidence for the use of dissection of the ITA using the skeletonized technique in patients older than 70 years.

The ITA dissection using the skeletonized technique causes lesser devascularization of the sternum, and is accompanied by a lower incidence of sternal complications.
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